# The Triennial Review Preliminary Draft

October 4, 2005 Tucson, Arizona

## **Purposes**

Three main purposes today:

- To present the preliminary draft rules.
  - What's changed from the kickoff meetings
  - What's new?
- To discuss stakeholder comments received so far.
- To discuss the stakeholder process and schedule for rule development.

# What's Changed Since the Kickoff Meetings?

- At the kickoff meetings, ADEQ presented the idea of consolidating the human health designated uses (FBC, PBC, FC and DWS) into a single HH designated use.
- ADEQ has reconsidered this idea. The preliminary draft retains the FBC, PBC, FC, and DWS designated uses.

# What's Changed Since the Kickoff Meetings?

- At the kickoff, ADEQ was considering the proposal of a less stringent chronic selenium criterion (2 µg/L to 5 µg/L)
- ADEQ is reconsidering after receiving comments from EPA & U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. ADEQ retained 2 ug/L in the preliminary draft.

# What's New Since the Release of the Preliminary Draft?

- Draft antidegradation rule
- There is a revised narrative nutrient implementation rule.
- ADEQ is considering a new suspended sediment concentration criterion to protect trout species from chronic exposures to suspended sediment

  (A&Wc at 25 mg/L; A&Ww at 80 mg/L)

# Adding New Surface Waters to Appendix B

■ Comment: Several perennial and intermittent streams in Pima County that were identified for the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan are not listed in Appendix B. High priority streams for inclusion include: Bingham Cienega, Posta Quemada Wash, Edgar Canyon, and Youtcy Canyon.

#### Lakeside Lake

■ Appendix B of the preliminary draft rules indicates a change to the designated use of Lakeside Lake from A&Ww to A&Wedw. Because Lakeside Lake is made up of pumped groundwater and reclaimed water, it should remain listed as A&Ww.

### Some Common Ground Chlorine Criteria to Protect Aquatic Life

- Comment: ADEQ should modify the total residual chlorine criteria to protect aquatic life in EDWs.
  - ADEQ will propose adoption of total residual chlorine standards that are consistent with EPA national criteria recommendations.
    - $\blacksquare$  Acute: 11  $\mu g/L$  to 19  $\mu g$  /L
    - Chronic:  $5 \mu g/L$  to  $11 \mu g/L$

### Some Common Ground Narrative Standard IPs in Rule

- Comment: ADEQ should develop implementation procedures for the narrative toxics standard, narrative bottom deposits and narrative nutrient standard in rules.
  - 2 out of 3 are in preliminary draft.
  - Narrative toxics will have to be addressed in a separate stakeholder process.
  - ADEQ will also go forward with biocriteria and antidegradation IPs.

## **Davidson Canyon**

- Comment: Classify Davidson Canyon as a unique water.
  - The nominated reach of Davidson Canyon is 3.2 miles in length with approximately 0.75 miles of perennial stream flow. The remainder of the nominated reach is intermittent or ephemeral. R18-11-112(D)(1) requires that a surface water be a perennial water.

#### Site-Specific Nitrate Standard: San Pedro

- Comment: ADEQ should repeal the site-specific nitrate standard of 10 mg/L that applies to the San Pedro River from Curtiss to Benson.
  - The reach does not have a DWS designated use. The site-specific standard of 10 mg/L is more stringent than the nitrate criteria established to maintain water quality for the designated uses of the river.
  - The site-specific standard was originally adopted in mid-1980's to protect groundwater in the alluvial aquifer from nitrate contamination.

#### Riparian Restoration Designated Use

- Comment: Adopt a new designated use and water quality criteria for riparian restoration projects.
  - WQS consist of a designated use and water quality criteria. ADEQ is unaware of a methodology to derive scientifically defensible water quality criteria for a riparian restoration use.

#### R18-11-113(E)

■ The current and the preliminary draft rule both state:

The NPDES permit issuing authority shall use the water quality standards that apply to an effluent dependent water to derive discharge limitations for a point source discharge from a wastewater treatment plant to an ephemeral water that changes that ephemeral water into an effluent dependent water.

#### R18-11-113(E)

- Comment: ADEQ should repeal
  R18-11-113(E) for the following reasons:
  - R18-11-113(E) conflicts with R18-11-113(A) which states that the Director shall classify a surface water as an EDW by rule.
  - The mere discharge of wastewater cannot change an ephemeral water into an effluent dependent water.

#### Definition of "Effluent Dependent Water"

Comment: ADEQ should revise the definition of "effluent dependent water" to say that an EDW is a surface water that consists of treated wastewater that is classified as an EDW by the Director under R18-11-113(A). As part of the classification process, ADEQ must conduct a scientific study, utilize available site-specific research and data, or develop an implementation policy that identifies general criteria necessary to determine if discharge conditions are adequate to create an EDW and ecosystem.

## Definition of Ephemeral Water

■ Comment: The definition of "ephemeral water" should be changed to allow the possibility of an ephemeral water to receive intermittent discharges of treated wastewater while still maintaining its ephemeral use designation.

#### Process

- Comment: ADEQ should extend the schedule for completing the triennial review.
- ADEQ will take the time necessary to ensure adequate public participation in the development of revised WQS. Original schedule called for the completion of a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in November, 2005. This target date will be extended to the end of the year.

#### Process

- Comment: Use a separate stakeholder process to develop new rules for each narrative standard.
- ADEQ will address as many of the narratives as possible through the triennial review process, but ADEQ will probably need to develop the IPs for the narrative toxics standard separately.

#### **Revised Schedule**

- Written comments on preliminary draft: November 4, 2005.
- Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: By December 31, 2005



Is there a need for additional stakeholder meetings on specific WQS issues?

